Fermilab Long Range Planning Committee

Open Meeting on LHC

Fermilab, September 4, 2003

LHC Subcommittee
Joel Butler, Marcela Carena, Jim Strait, John Womersley



Outline Agenda

- Overall Introduction (H. Montgomery)
- Vision for LHC at Fermilab (J. Womersley)
- LHC Accelerator Research Project (J. Strait)
- Detector R&D (J. Freeman)
- CMS Tier 1 Center and Computing (L. Bauerdick)
- Ideas for a Theory Center (M. Carena)
- Ideas for Physics Analysis (R. Demina)
- We have 2 hours overall. There will be time for 5-10 minutes of discussion after each talk, but I'd like to have enough time for a "where do we go from here" discussion at the end.



LHC Physics

- Of course you are supposed to know this, but the LHC will determine
 - What is responsible for EW symmetry breaking?
 - SM Higgs or...
 - Is there other new physics at the TeV scale that resolves the hierarchies and infinities of the Standard Model?
 - Supersymmetry or...
- Central challenge for HEP. For example, at Lepton-Photon 2003
 - Ed Witten:
 - importance of experiment leading theory again, "as is natural"
 - Hitoshi Murayama
 - Our uncertainty of the physics at the TeV scale is like a cloud, blocking our view to what lies beyond
- It is critical both for Fermilab and for the US HEP community that we play a central role in unlocking this physics



A vision for the LHC at Fermilab

- A role in LHC that is commensurate with the scale of Fermilab now and our future hoped for role in world HEP
- CMS Physics Analysis Center
 - Not just
 - Allow Fermilab to be a very competent collaborating institution
 - "the best place to get your data from"
 - "the best place to be if you can't be at CERN"
 - But "the best place to be if you want to do physics"
 - Why not?
 - Must enhance US physics potential overall, and improve the return on US investment in CMS and LHC
- A leading center (the leading center?) for LHC theory/phenomenology
- A leading center (the leading center?) for detector development and accelerator development for the LHC luminosity upgrades



What would this need?

- Physicists
 - How many?
 - How to get the best?
- Computer infrastructure (regional center)
- The best buildings/facilities/working environment/VC
 - Better than at universities
 - Better than at CERN(?!)
 - Includes social aspects/quality of life
- Synergies
 - Theorists
 - Other experiments
 - Nearby universities
 - Detector and accelerator work
- Core of Fermilab people resident at CERN(?)
- CMS visitors coming here
- Host one (or more) of the physics analysis groups here
 - Meetings to present/approve results here
 - People from CERN come here, not always vice versa



We need your input

- Need input from the user community:
 - especially US-CMS collaborators and CDF/DO members
 - What do you want from Fermilab in the operations phase?
 - What would make Fermilab an attractive place to work?
 - Does the vision outlined here resonate with you?
 - How do we get there?
 - We need a clear view of what we should we be doing this year, next year to make it a reality
- Hence this meeting!

